**Scrutiny Recommendation Tracker 2015-16**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Report of the Local Economy Panel** | | | | |
| **Recommendations** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / update due date** |
| 1. We recommend that the City Council:  a) Ensures that information about appealing to the Valuation Office Agency is made available to local businesses. In particular, this information should be communicated to all independent traders who may be affected by the major redevelopments taking place in Oxford.  b) Takes any opportunities to join with other local authorities to lobby the new Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government for more council controls over business rates. | yes | There is no doubt that business rate reform and/or local capacity to benefit from business rate growth on a more generous basis are major issues for local government. The devolution agenda will also have a bearing on these issues. | Matt Peachey | Nov 2015 |
| 2. We recommend that the City Council works with the County Council through the Town Team to agree on a single united channel of regular communications to businesses, such as about travel disruptions, supported by a single online source of information. | yes |  | City Centre Manager | Nov 2015 |
| 3. We recommend that the City Council develops a more corporate approach to communicating with businesses, including guidance for all departments whose work has an impact or involvement with businesses. This could take the form of defining a central point of contact within the City Council, which can identify the appropriate unit to respond on specific issues, including the County Council as appropriate. | no | The Communications team will examine this recommendation and consider what elements of it will be feasible and useful to take forward | Head of Comms | N/A |
| 4. We recommend that the City Council works with partners through the Town Team to reinforce the coordinated overall marketing and publicity campaign for Oxford in ways that cover all major potential audiences. | yes | The Town Team should also work closely with the Chief exec of Experience Oxfordshire on marketing and publicity for the city | City Centre Manager | Nov 2015 |
| 5. We recommend that the City Council develops a one stop shop function for events. This exercise should include a review of the costs and processes associated with aspects such as permission for road closures, stall licences and permits for distributing leaflets. | possibly | The Events Team already provides a pretty comprehensive one stop shop function within the City Council but they have to work alongside County Council officers on highways issues, which inevitably results in a less than fully comprehensive service. Worth exploring the scope for greater integration | Peter McQuitty/Alison Drummond | Nov 2015 |
| 6. We recommend that the City Council produces a simple analysis of the costs and benefits of pop up shops to landlords and the City Council. | no | The costs and benefits will vary so widely that this is likely to be a nugatory exercise. |  | N/A |
| 7. We recommend that the City Council takes a lead in establishing and facilitating a city centre commercial property landlord forum. This would be intended to bring together the owners of commercial properties, including the City Council, to ensure that there is a coordinated approach towards issues affecting the city centre, such as the minimisation of the time during which premises are empty. The forum could be chaired by the Leader of the Council, linked to the work of the Town Team and constituted based on the model of the previous Pensions and Language School forums. We also suggest that its membership should include a representative of each political group and that City Councillors should be able to observe meetings of the forum. | yes | This is a worthwhile initiative and worth trying, although there is an obvious danger that it would simply replicate the Town Team’s work. The TOR would have to be very carefully written. | Jane Winfield | Nov 2015 |
| 8. We recommend that the City Council leads on the development of a long term strategy for the city centre as a whole. This should include a commitment to developing and supporting vibrant and distinct city quarters away from prime sites, in locations such as Gloucester Green, Jericho/Observatory Quarter, Market Street, Broad Street and a possible arts quarter around the Ashmolean Museum. | yes | Work is already under way in the Planning Policy team on a city centre strategy. | Rachel Williams | Nov 2015 |
| 9. We recommend that dedicated officer time is allocated to the development and delivery of this city centre strategy. This could be funded wholly or in part via a BID and by additional business rates income that the role will generate, via reduced voids in commercial properties. | premature | When we have an agreed strategy, the resource implications will be assessed. The Town Team will be continuing their consideration of a BID over the next few months. The initiative lies with the business community |  | Nov 2015 |
| 10. We recommend that the City Council’s next Asset Strategy (2016-2020) builds upon the aim (not always presently achieved) of utilising City Council assets in ways that can provide wider strategic benefits to the city centre. The Asset Strategy could provide clear guidelines on the use of City Council-owned commercial premises to ensure the diversity and vitality of the city’s wider retail offer. | no | This recommendation will be remitted to the Asset management team for consideration with the portfolio holder and key officers when work on the 2016-20 strategy is started. | David Edwards/Jane Winfield | N/A |
| **Covered Market Leasing Strategy – Scrutiny Committee 2 June** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| We recommend that the City Executive Board approve the updated Covered Market Leasing Strategy 2015 with the following amendments:  a) The word ‘discouraged’ in paragraph 4.4 is strengthened to ‘avoided’.  b) The word ‘typically’ in the sixth bullet point of paragraph 4.9 is changed to ‘usually’. It could also be stated that exceptions will be considered for larger independent retailers that originate from Oxford. | Y | Happy to accept these changes | Bob Price / Elaine Philip | Y |
| **City Centre Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) – Scrutiny Committee 2 June** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. We recommend that an Enforcement Code of Conduct for Officers should be produced and that this code should be in place and in the public domain before any enforcement actions are taken under the City Centre Public Spaces Protection Order. |  | *Decision deferred.* | Dee Sinclair / Richard Adams | *TBC* |
| 2. We ask Executive to note that a minority of the Scrutiny Committee opposed aspects of the PSPO most notably the inclusion of non-aggressive begging and busking in the PSPO. |  | Dee Sinclair / Richard Adams |  |
| 3. We recommend that the existing ‘Code of Conduct for Busking and Street Entertaining in Oxford’ should be reviewed and revised to provide a more comprehensive “Guide to Busking and Street Entertaining in Oxford”. This guide should be accessible to buskers, street entertainers, businesses and the public, and draw on examples of good practice from other cities, as well as input Dee Sinclair / Richard Adams from stakeholders such as the Musicians Union. It should be in place before any enforcement actions are taken under the PSPO. |  | Dee Sinclair / Richard Adams |  |
| 4. We recommend that officers are instructed to look at the differential equalities impact of the PSPO proposals, for example the behaviour of sleeping in public toilets, having regard for example to safeguarding concerns for vulnerable adults. |  | Dee Sinclair / Richard Adams |  |
| **Housing Asset Management Strategy – Housing Panel 4 June** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. We note that a number City Council owned garages are not in use and recommend that the City Council reviews how it could make better use of these assets (for example as sites for new affordable housing or free off street car parking for residents), treating several garage sites as a virtual site. Consideration should be given to explicitly stating this aim, currently implied, in the Asset Management Strategy. | In part | Garages are not housing assets so won’t be mentioned in this strategy but we will be make better use of our garage assets. | Scott Seamons / Stephen Clarke |  |
| **Review of the HMO Licensing Scheme – Housing Panel 4 June** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. We recommend that the City Council renews the HMO licensing scheme in its entirety for a further 5 years (option 3). Consideration should be given to appropriate incentives and disincentives for landlords, and to the balance between taking a more pro-active approach to compliance whilst continuing efforts to extend the licensing scheme to cover more HMOs. | Y |  | Scott Seamons / Ian Wright | Y |
| 2. We note that the City Council is developing a Corporate Enforcement Policy and recommend that:  a) Enforcement within the Private Rented Sector is a corporate priority,  b) The Policy recognises that the City Council should take a different approach to enforcement in different sectors (e.g. Private Rented Sector, Public Spaces Protection Orders, etc.), rather than a one size fits all approach. | Y |  | Alex Hollingsworth / Cathy Gallagher | Nov 2015 |